

## Finance and General Purposes Committee Draft minutes of meeting held on Thursday 15 September 2022, 1.30pm Held in the Boardroom

Present: Ben Cookman, (Convenor)

Peter Graham David Patterson

In attendance: Shelly McInnes

Derek Duncan

Elaine Sutherland, (Clerk) Eleanor Melton, (Minutes)

		ACTION	DATE
F.22.03.01	(i) Resignations		
1.1	It was noted that Calum Leese, the former student member, has		
	resigned.		
	(ii) Appointments		
1.2	There are new appointments of Ben Cookman as Convener,		
	Jamie Wilson and Melissa Carr (HISA)		
F.22.03.02	Apologies for Absence		
2.1	Apologies for absence were received from Jamie Wilson and		
	Caroline Webster.		
F 22 02 02	Ann Additional Dadoustions of Interest in duding an eificitance		
F.22.03.03	Any Additional Declarations of Interest including specific items on this Agenda		
3.1	There were no additional declarations of interest.		
F.22.03.04	Draft minutes of Finance and General Purposes Committee meeting held on 15 June 2022		
4.1	The minutes of the Finance and General Purposes meeting held		
	on 15 June 2022 were accepted as an accurate record of the		
	meeting:		
	Proposed: Peter Graham		
	Seconded: David Patterson		
F.22.03.05	Draft Matters Arising from Finance and General Purposes		
<u> </u>	Committee held 15 June 2022		
5.1	All actions were noted as complete or on the agenda.		
F.22.03.06	Emerging Issues		
	(i) Moray Growth Deal		
6.1	In a verbal update, David outlined progress on the two growth		
	deal projects and asked the committee to agree what to report		
	to next week's Board meeting. Following the departure in the		
	summer of the MGD Project Manager, the College had		
	advertised for 1.5 FTE replacement posts but have been unable		
	to attract any suitable applicants. In the meantime, the project		

	is being project managed by the Executive Office project		
	management team, who hold weekly meetings with the		
	Principal as the Senior Reporting Officer (SRO). The Business		
	Enterprise (BE) Hub will be managed by a member of the		
	College's Academic Partnerships team, who will undertake the		
	reporting elements, and a full-time seconded post has been		
	created to lead the further development of the project.		
	Responding to questions, David explained that when the final		
	business case is signed-off, the charges relating to the support		
6.2	provided by EO will effectively be refunded.		
6.2	MAATIC A change request has been submitted to both Governments to		
	delay the full business case until February 2023, so that		
	discussions can be completed with Boeing regarding their		
	contribution to the project, and a review undertaken of the		
	green economy workstream that could link to the MAATIC		
	project. The key piece here being the Just Transition funding.		
6.3	Members were concerned about the extent of Boeing's		
	commitment to the project and that the cost implications of		
	locating the MAATIC building in Forres, including transporting		
	students back and forth, have not been thought through. In		
	response, it was pointed out that the detail of Boeing's		
	commitment is what is being worked on and that the initial		
	location close to RAF Lossiemouth had caused huge difficulties		
	because it was full of unknowns and restrictions, whereas the		
	location on the Forres Business Park was served by bus and the		
	site was 'shovel-ready'.		
6.4	BE Hub		
	Good progress was being made on the BE Hub. Discussions		
	were ongoing to try to finalise a memorandum of		
	understanding (MoU) with Moray Council and Robertson on the		
	way forward. In David's opinion, they were close to a position		
	that the College could agree to. It was proposed to postpone		
C. F.	seeking legal advice to the next stage of the project.		
6.5	With regard to the Board's stipulation that the developers' costings be externally verified, it was noted that Moray Council		
	have commissioned a consultant from their procurement		
	framework to undertake this work. Ben questioned the		
	timelines between the MoU and the tendering for the final		
	contract. Cost profiles are moving very quickly, so we will need		
	to be very agile. Shelly confirmed that the build will be on a		
	fixed cost basis.		
6.6	Thereafter, it was agreed to deal with the MoU by circulation	SM	In due
	rather than by convening an additional Committee meeting.		course
6.7	In response to a comment submitted by Caroline Webster, it		
	was confirmed that there had only been one meeting since she		
	had been appointed as the Board's representative on the MGD		
	Programme Board, as there was no point in meeting until there		
	is something to report.		
F.22.03.07	Management Accounts		
	(i) Management Accounts to 31 July 2022		
7.1	Shelly spoke to the commentary on the estimated outturn for		
	FY 2021/22, which forecasted an underlying surplus of £350k. It		]

	was proposed to roll forward the surplus to 2022/23 by		
	deferring income, and thereby reduce it to a break-even		
	position. It was noted that the exact value to be deferred is still		
	being worked through. £150k of deferred income relates to FE		
	clawback, but it will be December before the SFC announces if		
	there will be clawback. It was also noted that there is a risk that		
	the auditors might want the College to provide against £81k of		
	ESIF funding.		
7.2	Members commented that the Board had gone through a lot of		
7.2			
	pain to submit a budget for 2022/23 that they considered		
	realistic. Hence, assurances were sought and given regarding		
	the credibility of the current figures and how they would be		
	presented. Although it could be perceived as due a lack of		
	budgetary discipline, it was noted that the College was not		
	alone and that the budgets of other academic partners have		
	similar optics.		
7.3	In response to questions from the new Convenor, Shelly		
	explained that the commentary is provided for the benefit of		
	Board members and does not accompany the budget when it is		
	submitted to UHI. Regarding the improved cash position, which		
	was largely due to SFC capital funding being unspent by 31 July		
	2022, it was noted that this related to an inability to get		
	contractors on site. However, all the capital funds have been		
	committed in line with the College's Estates Strategy and the		
	programmed roof repairs will take most of them.		
7.4	Discussion followed on the progress being made with the		
7.4	project to replace the roof deck on the Birnie Wing. It remained		
	to be seen whether the estimate of £0.25m was realistic given		
	_		
	that prices were continually rising. The bid evaluation will close		
	next week, and the timing of the roof installation will depend		
	on the results of that process and the availability of contractors.		
7.5	Thereafter, the Committee agreed that:	SM	Immediate
	I. The same commentary be shared with the Board		
	II. The underlying surplus be moved to 2022/23 in order		
	to present a break-even budget to the September		
	meeting of the Board.		
	III. The risk on capital expenditure (ESIF funding) is called		
	out.		
F.22.03.08	Estates Updates		
	(i) Estates Capital and Back Log Maintenance Spend		
	Plan		
8.1	Derek spoke to his report which provided an update on the		
	spend plan for 2022/23, as previously endorsed by the		
	Committee. It also included a commentary on work undertaken		
	during the summer break, most of which was complete except		
	for repairs to the Victoria Art building, which are more		
	extensive than anticipated and will require additional funding to		
	be found to carry out further survey work.		
8.2	Derek also outlined explained why three projects from the		
<del>-</del>	2022/23 plan were currently under review, namely:		
	I. Refurbishment of the refectory		
	II. Beechtree fire suppression system		
	ii. Decentive ine suppression system	1	

		1	
	III. Replacement of mains power transformer in the		
0.0	Speyside building.		
8.3	It was noted that the refectory was being updated rather than		
	being repurposed in response to feedback from students and		
	staff (on behalf of the students) and he numbers back on		
	campus. Hence a decision was taken to provide a service via an external supplier for a trial period of a year. This required the		
	removal of the gas supply and a move to a fully electric kitchen.		
8.4	It was also noted that the SFC have suggested that colleges may		
0.4	want to utilise capital and life cycle maintenance on ICT to		
	support different ways of learning, although it cannot be used		
	to replace desktop pcs or other hardware.		
8.5	In response to a questions Derek advised that:		
0.5	Retaining the refectory kitchen for a one-year trial will		
	not impact on the college financially, apart from the		
	electricity costs, but, beyond that, a more coherent		
	proposal would need to go out to the market.		
	Any plans to invest funds in ICT would need to come		
	back to the Committee for approval. In terms of		
	hardware, which always carries the risk of becoming		
	obsolete, leasing may be a more cost-effective option		
	going forward.		
	In response to a further question, Shelly confirmed that the		
	College would require to borrow from UHI again this year,		
	although the amount would depend upon staff cost increases.		
	dithough the unlount would depend upon stan cost mercases.		
	(ii) Development of Estates Strategy		
8.2	Derek recalled the process behind the development of the		
	Estates Strategy 2022-2027, which was now presented for		
	approval. The key deliverable is the development of an outline		
	business case (OBC) for campus redevelopment, but this cannot		
	proceed without the full support of, and engagement with, the		
	RSB and the SFC. It is unclear what funding will be available		
	over the next 5 years, but it is crucial that the college positions		
	itself to be ready to respond to any changes in funding streams.		
	It is hoped that the SFC will prioritise OBCs. Alongside this, the		
	College must: rationalise the use of the campus in response to		
	changes in working patterns post-COVID; ensure that there is		
	effective room utilisation; and that everything possible is done		
	to make our campuses sustainable. However, even life-cycle		
	maintenance going forward is difficult to plan, as the RSB		
	decides how our capital funding is distributed based on student		
	numbers.		
8.3	In response to comments submitted by Caroline Webster,		
	Derek acknowledged that the college is benchmarked against		
	the sector for carbon output and that we are below average;		
	agreed that we need to work out what an interim measure of		
	success would be; and agreed to change the reference to net		
	carbon zero to 'operational' net carbon zero.		
8.4	Ben questioned whether the teaching space utilisation		
	percentages were comparable with other colleges, as he		
	regarded them as scarily low. He also questioned how the		
	college will push its agenda when others are pursuing the same		
	funds. Derek indicated that we need to get the college back on		

	the agenda of politicians and the SFC. Derek acknowledged that		
	it was the room utilisation figures were a risk but that a new		
	utilisation survey would be undertaken this year and staff will		
	be encouraged to be disciplined in their use of the room		
	booking/timetabling system.		
8.5	Peter noted that at a recent meeting with the SFC, it was made		
	clear that far from any additional funding being made available,		
	a 10% cut in real terms is more likely and therefore we will		
	probably have to consolidate campuses.		
8.6	Ben questioned whether the Estates Strategy links to the		
0.0	overall Strategic Plan and the five-year financial plans.		
	Assurances were given that the Estates Strategy aligns with the		
	9. 9		
	overall strategy, which includes commitments to net carbon		
	zero emissions and to the development of a new OBC for		
	campus redevelopment. Shelly was comfortable that any		
	residual risks are reflected accurately in the five-year forecast.		
8.7	The Estates strategy was approved by the Committee, subject	DD	ASAP
	to minor amendments (to cover the points raised by Caroline in		
	8.3 above) and will be presented for noting to the September		
	Board.		
F.22.03.09	Date of next meeting – 30 November 2022		
F.22.03.14	Emerging Issues		
	(i) Director of Finance - Additional Responsibility		
14.1	David reported that the College had been in discussion with UHI		
	Shetland about Shelly assuming oversight of their finance team		
	and operations. This would take up 30% of Shelly's time but was		
	in the spirit of the UHI revitalisation programme and would		
	result in savings for both colleges.		
	(ii) Just Transition Fund		
14.2	David recalled that the College had bid for £200k from the		
	Scottish Government's Just Transition Fund for a feasibility		
	programme to look at how we can make transition real in		
	Moray. Whilst Moray has been awarded funding, the		
	announcement of how much, which was due last week, has		
	been delayed.		
RESERVED ITI	EMS	1	l
F.22.03.10	Draft Reserved Minutes of Finance and General Purposes		
	Committee Meeting held on 15 June 2022		
10.1	This item is reserved, and the minute held in confidence.		
	·		
F.22.03.11	Reserved Matters Arising of Finance and General Purposes		
	Committee meeting held on 15 June 2022		
11.1	This item is reserved, and the minute held in confidence.		
F.22.03.12	Five-year Financial Forecast Return (FFR) 2022-27		
12.1	This item is reserved, and the minute held in confidence.		
F.22.03.13	Risk Register Update		
13.1	This item is reserved, and the minute held in confidence.		
	Meeting closed at 15:10.		